

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/667,364		09/23/2003	Jong-Eun Won	Q76839	9202	
23373	7590	07/15/2005		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE			RAY, GOPAL C			
SUITE 800	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING 7	ON, DC	20037	2111 .			

DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/667,364	WON, JONG-EUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Gopal C. Ray	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> . a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/03.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1- 16 are presented for examination.

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Page 2

- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is <u>clearly indicative</u> of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner believes that the title of the invention is broad. A descriptive title indicative of the invention will help in proper indexing, classifying, searching, etc. See MPEP 606.01. However, the title of the invention should be limited to 500 characters.
- 4. The drawings filed on 9/23/03 are acceptable by the examiner. However, direct any inquiries concerning drawing review by the USPTO draftsperson to the Drawing Review Branch at (703) 305-8404.
- 5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Furthermore, all claims should be revised carefully to eliminate all grammatical errors and antecedent basis problems.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 8-12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6,553,444 granted to Holmquist et al.

Art Unit: 2111

As per claim 1, the reference of Holmquist et al. teaches "a medium insertion/removal sensing unit which senses when a medium is inserted or removed" in col. 4, lines 52-53; "a hot-plug signal control unit which outputs a hot-plug signal control when the medium is inserted or removed" in col. 4, lines 54-61.

As per claim 2, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 with the exception of "a switch that outputs the hot-plug signal".

However, the reference of Holmquist et al. teaches the feature in Fig. 3, element 128.

As per claims 3-4, the reference of Holmquist et al. teaches "wherein the medium is a memory stick" in col. 1, lines 45-46.

As per claim 5, the reference of Holmquist et al. teaches "wherein the medium insertion unit transmits the sensor signal to the switch" in Fig. 3, element 117 and col. 4, lines 54-56.

As per claim 8, the claim recites a method. However, the limitations of the claim are parallel to the limitations of claim 1. In teaching the construction and use of the device, US Patent 6,553,444 granted to Holmquist et al. teaches a corresponding method.

As per claim 9, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 8 above.

As per claims 10-12, the added features of the claims are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the rejection of claims 3-5 respectively.

As per claim 15, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 with the exception of "a computer readable medium storing a

Art Unit: 2111

computer program for executing a hot-plug signal generation". However, the reference of Holmquist et al. teaches the feature in col. 3, lines 31-36.

As per claim 16, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 15 above.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 6, 7, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over by US Patent 6,553,444 granted to Holmquist et al. in view of by US Patent 6,442,734 granted to Hanson et al.

As per claim 6, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 with the exception of "the hot-plug signal is a D+ or D- signal defined in a USB standard. However, D+ or D- signal defined in a USB standard was well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by Hanson et al. The reference of Hanson et al. teaches the feature in Figures 2A-B. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Holmquist et al. to implement the above feature so as to allow the system to be compatible with a widely used standard and to allow the system to take advantage of the many benefits such as accommodating a wide variety of computer peripherals in the system.

Art Unit: 2111

As per claims 7, 13 and 14, the added limitation of each claim is same and is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 6 above.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is urged to consider the references. However, the references should be evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather than by their specific disclosure. The prior art submitted by applicant has been considered by the examiner and made of record in the file.

Applicants are reminded that each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. Applicants are advised to submit any information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gopal C. Ray whose telephone number is (571) 272-3631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 2111

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC central telephone number is (571) 272-2100. Moreover, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications ceased to be mailed to applicants with office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of Foreign Patents and Non-Patent Literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available for download via Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from

Art Unit: 2111

7,364 Page 7

the office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. Patent or Patent Application Publications will not be granted.

GOPAL C. RAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GEOUP MAN